

PETER M. HART (SB# 198691)
hartpeter@msn.com
LAW OFFICES OF PETER M. HART
13952 Bora Bora Way, F-320
Marina Del Rey, CA 90292
Telephone: (310) 478-5789
Facsimile: (509) 561-6441

Attorney for Plaintiff
Shameika Moody
(Additional Counsel for Plaintiff continued on page 2)

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

SHAMEIKA MOODY, as an individual and on
behalf of all other similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CHARMING SHOPPES OF DELAWARE,
INC., a corporation, and DOES 1 through 20,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. C 07-06073 JL

**~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING FINAL
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENT, AWARDED ATTORNEYS'
FEES AND LITIGATION EXPENSE TO
CLASS COUNSEL, AWARDED CLASS
REPRESENTATIVE SERVICE PAYMENTS,
AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT**

Judge: Hon. James Larson
Ct. No.: F, 15th Floor
Date: September 22, 2010
Time: 9:30 a.m.

ADDITIONAL COUNSEL

KENNETH H. YOON (State Bar No. 198443)
LAW OFFICES OF KENNETH H. YOON
One Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2200
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Telephone: (213) 612-0988
Facsimile: (213) 947-1211
kyoon@yoon-law.com

LARRY W. LEE (State Bar No. 228175)
DIVERSITY LAW GROUP, A Professional Corporation
444 S. Flower Street, Suite 1370
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: (213) 488 – 6555
Facsimile: (213) 488 – 6554
lwlee@diversitylaw.com

ERIC HONIG (State Bar No. 140765)
LAW OFFICE OF ERIC HONIG
P.O. Box 10327
Marina Del Rey, CA 90295
Telephone: (310) 314-2603
Facsimile: (310) 314-2793
erichonig@aol.com

1 On September 22, 2010, a hearing was held on the motion of Plaintiff Shameika Moody
2 (“Plaintiff” or “Moody”) for final approval of the proposed class action settlement.

3 On October 6, 2008, Plaintiff and Defendants Charming Shoppes of Delaware, Inc. and
4 Lane Bryant, Inc. (“Defendants”) (collectively with Plaintiff as the “Parties”) filed their
5 Stipulation and Agreement to Settle Class Action and Limited release (the “Agreement” or
6 “Settlement”).

7 On March 17, 2010, the Court issued an Order (1) preliminarily approving the proposed
8 class action settlement, (2) conditionally certifying the settlement class, (3) directing mailing of
9 notice and claim form to the class, and (4) setting the schedule for the final approval process and
10 final approval hearing, which specified the manner in which notice of the proposed Settlement
11 was to be provided to the Settlement Class and scheduled a Final Approval and Fairness Hearing.

12 The Court having read and considered the papers on the motion, the response of the
13 Settlement Class Members to the Notice of Settlement, the arguments of counsel, and the
14 evidence and law, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby grants Plaintiff’s
15 Motion in its entirety (“Final Order”) and HEREBY FINDS and ORDERS as follows:

16 1. Except as otherwise specified herein, for purposes of this Final Order, the Court
17 adopts and incorporates by reference all defined terms set forth in the Agreement¹

18 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and all parties to
19 the proceeding. Specifically, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant
20 to 28 U.S.C. §1332. This Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over the state-law claims
21 asserted by Plaintiff because the state-law claims derive from a common nucleus of operative
22 fact and form part of the same case or controversy as those claims over which the Court has
23 primary jurisdiction. 28 U.S.C. §1367 (providing for supplemental jurisdiction over related
24 state-law claims that “form part of the same case or controversy”).

25
26
27
28
29
30
¹ The initial capitalization of a term indicates its usage as defined in the Agreement.

1 3. The proposed settlement class (“Class”), identified in the Court’s March 17, 2010
2 Order granting preliminary approval of this class action settlement (“Preliminary Approval
3 Order”) and incorporated herein by reference, satisfies the requirements of a settlement class
4 because the class members are readily ascertainable and a well-defined community of interest
5 exists in the questions of law and fact affecting the parties.

6 4. Notice to the Class was provided in the manner and form set forth in the
7 Preliminary Approval Order. The Claims Administrator took reasonable steps to provide the
8 Notice of Settlement and Claim Form to Class Members when it learned that the address to
9 which those documents were mailed was no longer accurate. These documents informed Class
10 Members of the terms of the Settlement, their right to claim a share of the settlement proceeds
11 and the procedure therefore, their right to object to the Settlement or to opt out of the Settlement
12 and pursue their own remedies, and their right to appear in person or by counsel at the Final
13 Approval Hearing and be heard regarding the final approval of the Settlement. Notice was
14 provided with ample time for the Class Members to follow these procedures.

15 5. The Court finds that this notice procedure afforded adequate protections to Class
16 Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding approval
17 of the Settlement based on the responses of Class Members. Notice was accomplished in all
18 material respects in the manner prescribed by the Settlement. The Court finds that the notice
19 provided notice to all persons entitled to such notice in this case, was the best notice practicable,
20 and, therefore, fully satisfied the requirements of due process, such that all absent class members
21 have been given the opportunity to participate fully in the claims exclusion and the approval
22 process.

23 6. There were no objections to the Final Approval of this Settlement in response to
24 the notice.

1 7. The Court grants final approval of the Settlement between the Parties. Pursuant to
2 Rule 23(e), the Court finds that the Settlement is fair, just, reasonable, and adequate to the Class
3 when balanced against the probable outcome of extensive and costly litigation. *Staton v. Boeing*,
4 327 F.3d 938, 960 (9th Cir. 2003). Substantial formal and informal discovery, investigation, and
5 research have been conducted such that the Parties' respective counsel at this time are reasonably
6 able to evaluate their respective positions. It appears to the Court that settlement will avoid
7 substantial additional costs by all parties, as well as the delay and risk that would be presented by
8 further prosecution of this action. The Court finds that the settlement that has been reached as
9 the result of intensive, noncollusive, arm's-length negotiations, thorough factual and legal
10 investigation, and the good faith exchange of information and documents. In granting final
11 approval of the Settlement, the Court considered the nature of the claims, the amounts and kinds
12 of benefits paid in settlement, the allocation of the settlement proceeds amount the Class
13 Members, and the fact that the settlement represents a compromise of the Parties' respective
14 positions rather than the result of a finding of liability at trial. The Court further finds that the
15 response of the Class to the Settlement supports final approval, as no Class Member objects to
16 the final approval of this Settlement.

17 8. The Court hereby finds the Settlement Sum to be fair, adequate and reasonable.

18 9. Payment to those Eligible Class Members who timely filed claims shall be made
19 in accordance with the terms of the Settlement. The Court orders the Parties to implement and
20 comply with the Settlement according to its terms and the Court's orders.

1 10. As provided in the Settlement, all of the Released Claims of each Class Member
2 who did not timely opt out, as well as the Plaintiff's Released Claims, are and shall be deemed to
3 be conclusively released as against the Released Parties. As of the date of this Final Order, all
4 Class Members who did not timely opt out/request exclusion are bound by this Final Order and
5 Judgment, and the Settlement. Except as to rights or claims that may be created by the
6 Settlement, all Class Members as of the date of this Final Order who did not timely opt out are
7 forever barred and enjoined from commencing or prosecuting any of the claims, either directly
8 representatively, or in any other capacity, that are released by the Settlement against any of the
9 Released Parties. This Final Order shall have the force and effect of res judicata as to each Class
10 Member who did not timely opt out of the Settlement.

11 11. The Court finds that the individuals listed on Exhibit A hereto submitted timely
12 requests for exclusion/opt outs and, accordingly, are not bound by the terms of the Settlement or
13 the Judgment in this Action.

14 12. The Settlement is not an admission by Defendants nor is this Final Order a finding
15 of the validity of any claim in the lawsuit or any wrongdoing by Defendants. Furthermore, the
16 Settlement will not be (i) construed as, offered or admitted in evidence as, received as, or
17 deemed to be evidence for any purpose adverse to Defendants, including, but not limited to,
18 evidence of a presumption, concession, indication or admission by Defendants of any liability,
19 fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession or damage; nor (ii) disclosed, referred to or offered in
20 evidence against Defendants, in any further proceeding in the lawsuit, or any other civil, criminal
21 or administrative action or proceeding except for purposes of effecting the Settlement. However,
22 the Settlement may be admitted in evidence and otherwise used in any and all proceedings to
23 enforce any or all terms of the Settlement, or to support a defense by the Released Parties of res
24 judicata, collateral estoppel, release, waiver, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction,
25 and any other applicable defenses.

1 13. In accordance with the terms of the Settlement, the Released Claims covered by
2 the Settlement shall be and hereby are dismissed on the merits with prejudice on a class-wide
3 basis as to the Representative Plaintiff and all Class Members except those who timely filed
4 requests for exclusion.

5 14. Without affecting the finality of this Final Order and Judgment, the Court reserves
6 continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over this Action and the Parties, including all Class
7 Members, to administer, supervise, construe and enforce the Settlement in accordance with its
8 terms for the mutual benefits of the Parties.

9 15. The Court determines that an award of attorneys' fees to class counsel under the
10 common fund doctrine in the collective amount of \$525,000, representing 25% of the \$2.1
11 million Settlement Sum to the Class, is fair, reasonable and appropriate. Counsel for the Class
12 performed work which benefitted the Class and expended substantial time and effort in litigating
13 this matter. An award of attorney's fees in this amount compares favorably to the accepted
14 benchmark of attorney's fees awards in common fund cases. Importantly, there were no
15 objections to the requested fee and expense award from any member of the Class.

16 16. The substantial recovery obtained and the results achieved, along with the risks of
17 the litigation, the skill required, quality of the work, the contingent nature of the fee, the financial
18 burden carried by Class Counsel, and awards made in similar cases, all justify the requested
19 attorneys' fees award. The work of class counsel on behalf of the Class resulted in the creation of
20 a total settlement value of \$2.1 million.

1 17. Class counsel prosecuted this case on a contingent basis. The Court finds that
2 there is a substantial difference between the risk assumed by attorneys being paid by the hour
3 and attorneys working on a contingent fee basis. The attorney being paid by the hour can go to
4 the bank with his fee. The attorney working on a contingent basis can only log hours while
5 working without pay towards a result that will hopefully entitle him to a market place contingent
6 fee taking into account the risk of the undertaking. Otherwise, the contingent fee attorney
7 receives nothing. Class Counsel subjected themselves to this contingent fee market risk in this all
8 or nothing contingent fee case wherein the necessity and financial burden of private enforcement
9 makes the requested award of thirty percent of the settlement value to the Class appropriate.

10 18. The attorneys' fee award of \$525,000 is further justified by comparison to Class
11 Counsel's actual lodestar in this case. In this litigation, Class Counsel expended more than
12 1,316.3 hours prosecuting this action on behalf of the Class from inception to the present. These
13 hours, when calculated at Class Counsel's customary hourly rates, corresponds to a total lodestar
14 of \$646,297.50, including the remaining time Class Counsel may expend to finish the
15 prosecution of this action including the disbursement of funds, final approval, final accounting
16 and defense of an appeal, if any. The requested fee award amounts to \$525,000. This fee award
17 therefore represents an amount which is less than Class Counsel's overall lodestar.

18 19. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Court further orders that
19 Class Counsel be reimbursed for their litigation expenses in the amount of \$23,127.01. This
20 amount represents the reasonable out of pocket costs Class Counsel incurred in this litigation.

21 20. The Court finds that the named Plaintiff performed her duties and role as the class
22 representative admirably. The Court hereby awards the named Plaintiff, Shameika Moody, an
23 incentive and service award of \$20,000, which the Court finds to be fair and reasonable, to be
24 paid in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

25 21. For all of the above reasons, the Court hereby awards \$525,000 to Class Counsel
26 as attorneys' fees, \$23,127.01 for litigation expenses and costs, and \$20,000 to the named
27 Plaintiff, all to be paid in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

22. If the Settlement does not become final and effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement, this Final Order and Judgment and all orders entered in connection herewith shall be vacated and shall have not further force or effect.

23. The Court hereby enters judgment approving the terms of the Settlement. This document shall constitute a final judgment for purposes of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure, Rule 58.

THEREFORE, the Court, in the interest of justice, there being no reason for delay, expressly directs the Clerk of the Court to enter Final Order and Judgment as set forth above, and hereby decrees, that upon entry, it be deemed a final judgment in this Action.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated September 22, 2010



Hon. James Larson

United States Magistrate Judge